From: McKenna, James (Jim)

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Angelita M. Rodriguez To:

Cc: Ashton, David; Helle B. Andersen; Joanna Florer; John Toll; Lisa Saban; Mike Johns;

RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; Wyatt, Robert; Thai Do; Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

10/04/2006 04:21 PM Date:

Yes Eric, that is how Chip and I constructed the proposal. We'll remob to the study area on Monday. Thanks, Jim.

-Original Message ------Original Message---From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2006 4:08 PM
To: Angelita M. Rodriquez
Cc: Ashton, David; Helle B. Andersen; McKenna, James (Jim); Joanna
Florer; John Toll; Lisa Saban; Mike Johns; RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;
Wyatt, Robert; Thai Do; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

I just spoke to Angelita about the possibility of sampling in the study area on Thursday. My preference is to demobilize the field crew today once enough upstream ammocoetes have been collected to do one sample and one replicate upstream (it is my understanding that we may have already reached that threshold). We will then return to the field on Monday to target the study area. The study area sampling will continue Monday and Tuesday at a minium with a possibility of being out Wednesday depending on the mass of ammocoetes obtained. This will allow Stan the best opportunity to have input on where lamprey ammocoetes may be present in areas not previously targeted for sampling. I believe this is consistent with what was agreed between Chip and Jim below.

"Angelita M. Rodriquez <angelitar@windw ardenv.com>

10/04/2006 02:39

Lisa Saban sas@windwardenv.com>, "Helle B. Andersen" <helleb@windwardenv.com>, Joanna Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com, Joanna Florer <jmf@windwardenv.com>

То

<johnt@windwardenv.com>, Mike
Johns <mikej@windwardenv.com>,
RICKA@BES_CI.PORTLAND.OR.US, "Wyatt, Robert" <rjw@nwnatural.com>, ashtod@portptld.com, Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject RE: Siletz biologist - re:

Lamprey Sampling

In response to Jim's email below, I would to clarify a few things: A-Currently, the fieldcrew has been highly successfully at the Johnson Creek location. They have collected a total of 24 ammocoetes and will work on collecting the replicate the remainder of today. They are also confident they will complete the upstream locations today.

B-Therefore, they will mobilize the boats and equipment to return to the B-Therefore, they will mobilize the boats and equipment to return to the Study Area on Thursday, Oct. 5th to begin sampling areas, where our catch rate was successful. If they are continuing to have good luck at these locations, (meaning they obtain the 10 g of tissue) the field crew will get off the water and return on Monday, Oct. 9th. That way the fieldcrew can be efficient and able to sample with Stan in the Study Area and any lamprey collected will be additional tissue mass.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 206.812.5428. Angelita

This communication is made under the framework of the LWG Participation Agreement and in the parties' common interests in meeting LWG member obligations under the Administrative Order on Consent and in anticipation of litigation concerning liability for the Portland Harbor Superfund site. This communication is intended and believed by the parties to be part of an ongoing and joint effort to develop and

maintain a common legal strategy and contains strategies, work product and legal advice within the "common interest" extension of the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. This communication may include attorney-client communications. With respect to communications by private LWG members to public members, those communications are with the expectation that they will be kept confidential by the public entities. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at angelitar@windwardenv.com

----Original Message---

From: Lisa Saban Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2006 2:07 PM To: Helle B. Andersen; Angelita M. Rodriquez; Joanna Florer; Thai Do Cc: John Toll; Mike Johns

Subject: FW: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

angie - pls respond w/field crew's plan.

----Original Message----

From: McKenna, James (Jim) [mailto:Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2006 1:18 PM
To: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Ashton, David; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; Lisa Saban;
ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us; rjw@nwnatural.com; voster@anchorenv.com

Subject: RE: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

Thanks Chip. Valerie and Lisa: please make sure the sampling team is informed about this decision. Jim.

---Original Message--

----Original Message---From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2006 1:00 PM
To: McKenna, James (Jim)
Cc: Ashton, David; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; lisas@windwardenv.com; ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us; rjw@nwnatural.com; voster@anchorenv.com
Subject: Re: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

Jim,

Thanks for your response. The approach you outlined below is acceptable, with the understanding that EPA expects that the additional downstream sampling effort should be for a minimum of two days unless otherwise agreed by EPA.

We appreciate the LWG's flexibility in completing this important field sampling.

Chip Humphrey

"McKenna, James (Jim)' Jim.McKenna@por tofportland.com>

10/04/2006 12:32

Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, rjw@nwnatural.com, ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us, voster@anchorenv.com

lisas@windwardenv.com, "Ashton, David' <David.Ashton@portofportland.com> Subject Re: Siletz biologist - re:

Lamprey Sampling

Chip and Eric:

Thanks for discussing with us the options for completing the lamprey ammocoete sampling effort. I have conferred with the LWG Exec and our field crew. Based on these conversations the LWG proposes the following:

- A) we will continue the upstream sampling per the approved FSP. Our team is currently sampling near Johnson Creek in an attempt to collect enough mass for an upstream replicate sample. They will wrap-up the upstream sampling sometime this week (depending on the rate of ammoceote collection.
- B) the field crew will return to the study area (river miles 2 to 11) next Monday to attempt to gather additional tissue mass. As you are aware, the numerous attempts in the study area have yielded only about 32 grams of tissue. The field team will return to the study area for

three additional days of sampling, or collect 10 grams of tissue, whichever comes first. We are holding-off remobilization to the stdy area until Monday in order to accommodate Alan Van De Wetering (Siletz biologist).

Our team will confer with Alan to identify sampling locations within the study area.

C) in regards to the limited lamprey tissue that was collected at river mile 11.7 we agree to hold-off the decision to include this mass with any composite sample until the field work is completed.

Please let me know ASAP if this approach is acceptable so we can instruct our sampling team accordingly. Thanks, ${\tt Jim.}$

----Original Message---From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>
To: McKenna, James (Jim)
Sent: Wed Oct 04 11:56:34 2006
Subject: Siletz biologist - re: Lamprey Sampling

Jim ·

The Siletz biologist who would be observing the downstream sampling is Stan Van De Wetering.

thanks chip